



July 29, 2013

Rusty Simpson Southwest Geoscience 2351 W. Northwest Hwy Suite 3321 Dallas, TX 75220

RE: Pace Project 756761

Project ID: 0111C278A/Stewart Creek

Dear Rusty Simpson:

Enclosed are the analytical results for sample(s) received by the laboratory on July 12, 2013. Results reported herin conform to the most current NELAC standards, where applicable, unless otherwise narrated in the body of the report.

If you have any questions concerning this report, please feel free to contact me.

Sincerely,

Shelly Connelly

shelly.connelly@pacelabs.com

Shelly Cornelly)

Laboratory Certifications

Pace Dallas: Texas Certification #: T104704232-12-4



REPORT OF LABORATORY ANALYSIS

This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without the written consent of Pace Analytical Services, Inc.



Sample Cross Reference

Pace Analytical Services, Inc. 400 W. Bethany Drive, Suite 190 Allen, TX 75013 (972) 727-1123

Pace Project No.: 756761

Client: Southwest Geoscience
Project ID: 0111C278A/Stewart Creek

Client Sample ID	Lab ID	Matrix	Collection Date/Time	Received Date/Time
Chip (6-21)-1	756761001	Solid	06/21/2013 14:32	07/12/2013 11:36
Slag (6-24)-1	756761002	Solid	06/24/2013 16:25	07/12/2013 11:36
Slag (6-24)-2	756761003	Solid	06/24/2013 16:40	07/12/2013 11:36
Slag (6-24)-2 Base	756761004	Solid	06/24/2013 16:40	07/12/2013 11:36



Project Narrative

Pace Analytical Services, Inc. 400 W. Bethany Drive, Suite 190 Allen, TX 75013 (972) 727-1123

Pace	Pro	ject	No.:	<u>756761</u>
------	-----	------	------	---------------

Holding Times:

All holding times were within method requirements.

Blanks:

All blank results were below reporting limits.

Laboratory Control Samples:

All LCS recoveries were within QC limits.

Matrix Spikes and Duplicates:

MS or MSD recoveries outside of QC limits are qualified in the Report of Quality Control section.

Surrogate:

All surrogate recoveries were within QC limits.

Appendix A LABORATORY DATA PACKAGE COVER PAGE

This data package is for Job No. 756761 and consists of:

This signature page, the laboratory review checklist, and the following reportable data: X R1 - Field chain-of-custody documentation; X R2 - Sample identification cross-reference; X R3 - Test reports (analytical data sheets) for each environmental sample that includes: a. Items consistent with NELAC Chapter 5, b. Dilution factors, c. Preparation methods, d. Cleanup methods, and e. If required for the project, tentatively identified compounds (TICs). X R4 - Surrogate recovery data including: a. Calculated recovery (%R), and b. The laboratory's surrogate QC limits. X R5 - Test reports/summary forms for blank samples; X R6 - Test reports/summary forms for laboratory control samples (LCSs) including: a. LCS spiking amounts, b. Calculated %R for each analyte, and c. The laboratory's LCS QC limits. X R7 - Test reports/summary forms for matrix spike/matrix spike duplicates (MS/MSDs) including: a. Samples associated with the MS/MSD clearly identified, b. MS/MSD spiking amounts, c. Concentration of each MS/MSD analyte measured in the parent and spiked samples, d. Calculated %Rs and relative percent differences, and e. The laboratory's MS/MSD QC limits. X R8 - Laboratory analytical duplicate (if applicable) recovery and precision: a. The amount of analyte measured in the duplicate, b. The calculated RPD, and, c. The laboratory's QC limits for analytical duplicated. R9 - List of method quantitation limits (MQLs) and detectability check sample results for each analyte and X R10 - Other problems or anomalies.

The exception Report for each "No" or "Not Reviewed (NR)" item in the Laboratory Review Checklist and for each analyte, matrix, and method for which the laboratory does not hold NELAC accredidation under the Texas Laboratory Accreditation Program.

Release Statement: I am responsible for the release of this laboratory data package. This laboratory is NELAC accredited under the Texas Laboratory Accreditation Program for all the methods, analytes, and matrices reported in this data package except as noted in the Exception Reports. The data have been reviewed and are technically compliant with the requirements of the methods used, except where noted by the laboratory in the Exception Reports. By my signature below, I affirm to the best of my knowledge all problems/anomalies observed by the laboratory have been identified in the Laboratory Review Checklist, and no information affecting the quality of the data has been knowingly withheld.

Check, if applicable: [] This laboratory meets an exception under 30 TAC §25.6 and was last inspected by [X] TCEQ on 02/24/2012

Any findings affecting the data in this laboratory data package are noted in the Exception Reports herin. The official signing the cover page of the report in which these data are used is responsible for releasing this data package and is by signature affirming the above release statement is true.

Name (Printed) Signature Official Title (Printed) <u>Date</u> Shelly Connelly Shelly Cornelly) **Project Manager** 07/29/2013



Pace Analytical Services, Inc. 400 W. Bethany Drive, Suite 190 Allen, TX 75013 (972) 727-1123

Client: Southwest Geoscience

Client ID: Chip (6-21)-1 Project ID: 0111C278A/Stewart Creek

 Lab ID: 756761001
 Moisture: N/A
 Pace Project No.: 756761

 Collected: 06/21/2013 14:32
 Received: 07/12/2013 11:36
 Matrix: Solid

Parameters DF Results Qual Units MQL SDL Analysis Date Prep Date Batch Instr.

6010 Metals, TCLP Analytical Method: EPA 6010 Preparation Method: EPA 3010 Leachate Method: EPA 1311

Lead 1 4.1 mg/L 0.050 0.020 07/19/2013 17:16 07/19/2013 12:00 7952 75ICP1



Pace Analytical Services, Inc. 400 W. Bethany Drive, Suite 190 Allen, TX 75013 (972) 727-1123

Client: Southwest Geoscience

Client ID: Slag (6-24)-1 Project ID: 0111C278A/Stewart Creek

 Lab ID: 756761002
 Moisture: N/A
 Pace Project No.: 756761

 Collected: 06/24/2013 16:25
 Received: 07/12/2013 11:36
 Matrix: Solid

Parameters	DF	Results	Qual	Units	MQL	SDL	Analysis Date	Prep Date	Batch	Instr.
6010 Metals, TCLP	Analy	ytical Method	l: EPA 601	10	Prepa	ration Met	hod: EPA 3010	Leachate Metho	d: EPA 13	311
Arsenic	1 (0.084	n	ng/L	0.050	0.020	07/19/2013 17:22	07/19/2013 12:00	7952	75ICP1
Lead	1 :	23.7	n	ng/L	0.050	0.020	07/19/2013 17:22	07/19/2013 12:00	7952	75ICP1



Pace Analytical Services, Inc. 400 W. Bethany Drive, Suite 190 Allen, TX 75013 (972) 727-1123

Client: Southwest Geoscience

Client ID: Slag (6-24)-2 Project ID: 0111C278A/Stewart Creek

 Lab ID: 756761003
 Moisture: N/A
 Pace Project No.: 756761

 Collected: 06/24/2013 16:40
 Received: 07/12/2013 11:36
 Matrix: Solid

Parameters	DF	Results	Qual	Units	MQL	SDL	Analysis Date	Prep Date	Batch	Instr.
6010 Metals, TCLP	Anal	ytical Method	d: EPA 60	10	Prepa	aration Met	hod: EPA 3010	Leachate Metho	d: EPA 13	311
Arsenic	1	< 0.020	U	mg/L	0.050	0.020	07/22/2013 23:35	07/22/2013 15:59	7990	75ICP1
Lead	1	37.8	M1	ma/l	0.050	0.020	07/22/2013 23:35	07/22/2013 15:59	7990	75ICP1



Pace Analytical Services, Inc. 400 W. Bethany Drive, Suite 190 Allen, TX 75013 (972) 727-1123

Client: Southwest Geoscience

Client ID: Slag (6-24)-2 Base Project ID: 0111C278A/Stewart Creek

 Lab ID: 756761004
 Moisture: N/A
 Pace Project No.: 756761

 Collected: 06/24/2013 16:40
 Received: 07/12/2013 11:36
 Matrix: Solid

Parameters Results Qual Units SDL Analysis Date Prep Date Batch Instr. 6010 Metals, TCLP Analytical Method: EPA 6010 Preparation Method: EPA 3010 Leachate Method: EPA 1311 Arsenic 0.084 mg/L 0.050 0.020 07/19/2013 17:27 07/19/2013 12:00 7952 75ICP1 Lead 20.6 0.050 0.020 07/19/2013 17:27 07/19/2013 12:00 7952 75ICP1 mg/L



Quality Control

Pace Analytical Services, Inc. 400 W. Bethany Drive, Suite 190 Allen, TX 75013 (972) 727-1123

Batch: <u>7952</u> **Method:** <u>EPA 6010</u>

Prep Method: EPA 3010

Pace Project No.: 756761
Instrument ID: 75ICP1

Blank: 32169

Parameters	Dilution	Quals	Result	Units	MQL	SDL	Analysis Date	Prep Date
Arsenic	1	U	<0.020	mg/L	0.050	0.020	07/19/2013 14:30	07/19/2013 12:00
Lead	1	U	< 0.020	mg/L	0.050	0.020	07/19/2013 14:30	07/19/2013 12:00

Laboratory Control Sample: 32170

Parameters	Spk Amt	LCS Result	Units	LCS %Rec	% Rec Limits	LCS Quals
Arsenic	10	9.7	mg/L	97	80-120	
Lead	10	10.3	mg/L	103	80-120	

Matrix Spike: 32171 Matrix Spike Duplicate: 32172

Original for Sample: Batch sample 756789001

Parameters	Original Result	MS Spk	MSD Spk	MS Result	MSD Result	Units	MS %Rec	MSD %Rec	% Rec Limits	RPD	Max RPD	Quals
Arsenic	<0.020	10	10	10.0	10.0	mg/L	100	100	75-125	0	20	
Lead	< 0.020	10	10	10.1	10.2	mg/L	101	102	75-125	1	20	

Matrix Spike: 32173 Matrix Spike Duplicate: 32174

Original for Sample: Batch sample 756789002

Parameters	Original Result	MS Spk	MSD Spk	MS Result	MSD Result	Units	MS %Rec	MSD %Rec	% Rec Limits	RPD	Max RPD	Quals
Arsenic	<0.020	10	10	10.1	10.1	mg/L	101	101	75-125	0	20	
Lead	< 0.020	10	10	10	10	mg/L	100	100	75-125	0	20	



Quality Control

Pace Analytical Services, Inc. 400 W. Bethany Drive, Suite 190 Allen, TX 75013 (972) 727-1123

 Batch: 7990
 Pace Project No.: 756761

 Method: EPA 6010
 Instrument ID: 75ICP1

Prep Method: EPA 3010

Blank: 32294

Parameters	Dilution	Quals	Result	Units	MQL	SDL	Analysis Date	Prep Date
Arsenic	1	U	<0.020	mg/L	0.050	0.020	07/22/2013 22:51	07/22/2013 15:59
Lead	1	U	< 0.020	mg/L	0.050	0.020	07/22/2013 22:51	07/22/2013 15:59

Laboratory Control Sample: 32295

Parameters	Spk Amt	Result	Units	%Rec	% Rec Limits	Quals
Arsenic	10	9.7	mg/L	97	80-120	
Lead	10	9.9	mg/L	99	80-120	

Matrix Spike: 32296 Matrix Spike Duplicate: 32297

Original for Sample: Project sample Slag (6-24)-2

	Original	MS	MSD	MS	MSD		MS	MSD	% Rec		Max		
Parameters	Result	Spk	Spk	Result	Result	Units	%Rec	%Rec	Limits	RPD	RPD	Quals	
Arsenic	<0.020	10	10	10	10.2	mg/L	100	102	75-125	2	20		
Lead	37.8	10	10	44.2	44.7	mg/L	64	70	75-125	1	20	M1	



Unadjusted MQL Summary

Pace Analytical Services, Inc. 400 W. Bethany Drive, Suite 190 Allen, TX 75013 (972) 727-1123

Pace Project No.: 756761

Analyte	Method	Unadjusted MQL	Reporting Units
Arsenic	EPA 6010	0.050	mg/L
Lead	EPA 6010	0.050	mg/L

Definitions/Qualifiers



Pace Analytical Services, Inc. 400 W. Bethany Drive, Suite 190 Allen, TX 75013 (972) 727-1123

Pace Project No.: 756761

DEFINITIONS

DF Dilution Factor

J Estimated concentration above the adjusted method detection limit and below the adjusted reporting limit.

U Indicates the compound was analyzed for, but not detected.

SDL Sample Detection Limit

MQL Method Quantitation Limit

LCS(D) Laboratory Control Sample (Duplicate)

MS(D) Matrix Spike (Duplicate)

DUP Sample Duplicate

RPD Relative Percent Difference

TNI The Nelac Institute

Pace Analytical is TNI accredited. Contact your Pace PM for the current list of accredited analytes.

ANALYTE QUALIFIERS

Matrix spike recovery exceeded QC limits. Batch accepted based on laboratory control sample (LCS) recovery.

		TRRP LABORATORY R	CEVIEW CHECKLIST						
Laboratory		Pace Analytical Services, Inc. LRC Date: 07/29/2			013				
Project Name:		0111C278A/Stewart Creek	Laboratory Job Number:	756761	761				
Reviewer Name:		Shelly Connelly Prep Batch Number(s): See ex			eption i	report.			
# ¹	A ²	Description			Yes	No	NA ³	NR ⁴	ER#
R1	OI	Chain-of-custody (C-O-C)							
		Did samples meet the laboratory's standard conditions of sample acceptability upon receipt?			Х				
		Were all departures from standard conditions described in an exception report?			Χ				
R2	OI	Sample and quality control (QC) identification							
		Are all field sample ID numbers cross-referenced to the lab	poratory ID numbers?		Χ				
		Are all laboratory ID numbers cross-referenced to the corresponding QC data?			Χ				
R3 OI		Test reports							
		Were all samples prepared and analyzed within holding times?			Χ				
		Other than those results < MQL, were all other raw values	bracketed by calibration standa	rds?	Χ				
		Were calculations checked by a peer or supervisor?			Χ				
		Were all analyte identifications checked by a peer or supervisor?			Χ				
		Were sample detection limits reported for all analytes not d	detected?		Χ				
		Were all results for soil and sediment samples reported on	a dry weight basis?				Х		
		Were % moisture (or solids) reported for all soil and sedime					Х		
		Were bulk soils/solids samples for volatile analysis extracted	ed with methanol per SW846 Me	ethod			Х		
		5035? If required for the project, are TICs reported?					X		-
R4									
K4	0	Surrogate recovery data					X		
		Were surrogates added prior to extraction?	a laboratory OC limita?				X		
R5	OI	Were surrogate percent recoveries in all samples within the	e laboratory QC limits?						
кэ	OI OI	Test reports/summary forms for blank samples			~				
		Were appropriate type(s) of blanks analyzed?			X				
		Were blanks analyzed at the appropriate frequency? Were method blanks taken through the entire analytical pro	ncess including preparation and	l if	Х				-
		applicable, cleanup procedures?	ocess, including proparation and	^{4, ''}	Χ				
		Were blank concentrations < MQL?			Χ				
R6	OI	Laboratory control samples (LCS):							
		Were all COCs included in the LCS?			Χ				
		Was each LCS taken through the entire analytical procedu	re, including prep and cleanup s	steps?	Χ				
		Were LCSs analyzed at the required frequency?			Χ				
		Were LCS (and LCSD, if applicable) %Rs within the labora			Χ				
		Does the detectability check sample data document the lab	poratory's capability to detect the	e COCs	Χ				
		at the MDL used to calculate the SDLs? Was the LCSD RPD within QC limits?					Х		
R7	OI	Matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) dat	ta						
IXI	UI UI	Were the project/method specified analytes included in the			Х				
		Were MS/MSD analyzed at the appropriate frequency?	FING AND INGE:		X				
		Were MS (and MSD, if applicable) %Rs within the laborato	any OC limits?		^	Х			R7.
		Were MS/MSD RPDs within laboratory QC limits?	ny QC IIIIIIG:		Х				187.5
R8	OI	Analytical duplicate data							
110	OI OI	Were appropriate analytical duplicates analyzed for each n	natriy?				X		
		Were analytical duplicates analyzed at the appropriate frequency					X		
		Were RPDs or relative standard deviations within the labor	· · ·				X		
R9	OI	Method quantitation limits (MQLs):	atory QC infints:						
11.5	OI OI	Are the MQLs for each method analyte included in the laboration	pratory data nackage?		Х				
		Do the MQLs correspond to the concentration of the lowes)					
		·			Х				
		Are unadjusted MQLs and DCSs included in the laboratory	/ data package?		Χ				
R10	OI	Other problems/anomalies							
		Are all known problems/anomalies/special conditions noted			Χ				<u> </u>
		Was applicable and available technology used to lower the interference effects on the sample results?	SDL to minimize the matrix		Х				
		Interrerence effects on the sample results? Is the laboratory NELAC-accredited under the Texas Labor	ratory Accreditation Program for	the					
		analytes, matrices, and methods associated with this labor	atory data package?		Χ				
1.		d by the letter "R" must be included in the laboratory in the laboratory data pac- ild be retained and made available upon request for the appropriate retention p		orts(s). Items	s identifie	d by the			

<sup>O = Organic analyses; I = inorganic analysises (and general chemistry, when applicable);

NA = Not applicable;

NR = Not reviewed;

ER# = Exception Report identification number (an Exception Report should be completed in</sup> ER# = Exception Report identification number (an Exception Report should be completed for an item if "NR" or "No" is checked).

		TRRP LABORATORY R	REVIEW CHECKLIST					
Laboratory		Pace Analytical Services, Inc. LRC Date: 07/29/2013						
Project Name:		0111C278A/Stewart Creek	Laboratory Job Number: 75	r: 756761				
Reviewer Name:		Shelly Connelly	Prep Batch Number(s): Se					
# ¹	A ²	Description		Yes	No	NA ³	NR ⁴	ER #5
S 1	OI	Initial calibration (ICAL)						
	•	Were response factors and/or relative response factors for	each analyte within QC limits?	X				
		Were percent RSDs or correlation coefficient criteria met?		X				
		Was the number of standards recommended in the method used for all analytes?		Х				
		Were all points generated between the lowest and highest standard used to calculate the curve?		ve? X				
		Are ICAL data available for all instruments used?		X				
		Has the initial calibration curve been verified using an appr	ropriate second source standard?	X				
S2	2 OI Initial and continuing calibration verification (ICCV and CCV) and continuing calibration blank (CCB):		n					
		Was the CCV analyzed at the method-required frequency?	•	Х				
		Were precent differences for each analyte within the metho	od-required QC limits?	Х				
		Was the ICAL curve verified for each analyte?		Х				
		Was the absolute value of the analyte concentration in the inorganic CCB < MDL?		X				
S3	0	Mass spectral tuning						
		Was the appropriate compound for the method used for tu	ning?			X		
		Were ion abundance data within the method-required QC I	limits?			X		
S4	0	Internal standards (IS)						
		Were IS area counts and retention times within the method	d-required QC limits?			X		
S5	OI	Raw data (NELAC Section 5.5.10)						
		Were the raw data (for example, chromatograms, spectral		X				
	Were data associated with manual integrations flagged on the		the raw data?	X				
S6	0	Dual column confirmation						
	_	Did dual column confirmation results meet the method-required QC?				X		
S7 O		Tentatively identified compounds (TICs)						
		If TICs were requested, were the mass spectra and TIC data subject to appropriate checks?				X		
S8	I Interference Check Sample (ICS) results							
	•	Were percent recoveries within method QC limits?		Х				
S9	ı	Serial dilutions, post digestion spikes, and method of standard additions						
	•	Were percent differences, recoveries, and the linearity with	nin the QC limits specified in the	Х				
S10	OI	method? Method detection limit (MDL) studies						
310	OI OI	Was a MDL study performed for each reported analyte?		X				
		Is the MDL either adjusted or supported by the analysis of	DCSe2	X				
S11	OI	, , , , , ,						
J.,	<u> </u>	Was the laboratory's performance acceptable on the applicable proficiency tests or evaluation		n X				
	1	studies?		^				
S12	OI	Standards documentation						
	Are all standards used in the analyses NIST-traceable or obtained from other appropriate sources?		X					
S13	OI	Compound/analyte identification procedures						
	•	Are the procedures for compound/analyte identification documented?		Х				
S14	OI Demonstration of analyst competency (DOC)							
		Was DOC conducted consistent with NELAC Chapter 5?		Х				
		Is documentation of the analyst's competency up-to-date and on file?		X				
S15	OI							
		Are all the methods used to generate the data documented, verified, and validated, where applicable?		Х				
S16	OI	Laboratory standard operating procedures (SOPs)						
		Are laboratory SOPs current and on file for each method p	erformed?	X				
—		,				1	·	

Items identified by the letter "R" must be included in the laboratory in the laboratory data package submitted in the TRRP-required reports(s). Items identified by the letter "S" should be retained and made available upon request for the appropriate retention period;

O = Organic analyses; I = inorganic analysises (and general chemistry, when applicable);

^{3.} 4.

NA = Not applicable;
NR = Not reviewed;
ER# = Exception Report identification number (an Exception Report should be completed for an item if "NR" or "No" is checked).

TRRP LABORATORY REVIEW CHECKLIST								
Laboratory		Pace Analytical Services, Inc.	LRC Date:	07/29/2013				
Proje	ect Name:	0111C278A/Stewart Creek	Laboratory Job Number:	756761				
Review	er Name:	Shelly Connelly	Prep Batch Number(s):	7952,7990				
ER #1	Description							
R7.3	MS Sample	MS Sample #32296: Lead 64% spike recovery outside laboratory QC limit of 75-125%.						
R7.3	MSD Sample #32297: Lead 70% spike recovery outside laboratory QC limit of 75-125%.							
1.	ER# = Exc	eption Report identification number (an Exception Report	t should be completed for an item	if "NR" or "No" is checked).				